US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

_	101	
SHAUGHNESSY NO.		REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN <u>6-9-87</u>	DATE: OUT	7/14/89	
FILE OR REG. NO.	56228-EUP-2	······································	
PETITION OR EXP. NO		****	
DATE OF SUBMISSION	6-3-87		
DATE RECEIVED BY HED	6-5-87	•	
RD REQUESTED COMPLETION	N DATE		
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETIO	N DATE	and the standard plants and the standard standar	
RD ACTION CODE	742	eth diging grammayses	
TYPE OF PRODUCT(S): I,D,H,	F,N,R,S <u>Predacide</u>	and the state of t	
DATA ACCESSION NO(S).	graph with the transfer with the transfer with the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of	-	
PRODUCT MANAGER (NO.) _	W. Miller (16)	and the state of 	
PRODUCT NAME(S)Con	npound 1080	Andrew the second secon	
COMPANY NAMEUSDA/A	PHIS	- Contraction of the second of	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE Review	w protocol revision and	quarterly progress report.	
		ranga nagana salah da da sa	
		ettendy	
SHAUGHNESSY NO. CHI	EMICAL & FORMULA	TION(S) % A.I.	
	<u> </u>	· ·	

ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH

CHEMICAL: Sodium Monofluoroacetate (Compound 1080)

100.0 Submission Purpose

In accordance with 40 CFR 172.8, as specified in Experimental Use Permit 56228-EUP-2, the United States Department of Agriculture- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA-APHIS) has submitted a quarterly progress report relative to the use of single dose 1080 baits to control Arctic foxes on Kiska Island (See attached copy of report). In addition, the USDA-APHIS has requested an 8-month extension of the EUP because of a delay in the delivery of a new vessel assigned for management and research duties to the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge (AMNWR).

101.0 Discussion

The EEB has reviewed the quarterly progress report and has included it into the files. The EEB believes the data submitted to date indicate that the proposed use pattern is controlling Arctic foxes and resulting in benefits to the indigenous species of Kiska Island. The EEB also concludes that the request for extension of the permit should be granted.

102.0 Conclusions

The EEB concludes that the EUP is being conducted in accordance with those requirements described in the Guidelines and additional Pesticide Assessment restrictions as noted in the permit. The EEB believes that the USDA-APHIS should be granted their request for an extension of the permit.

Richard W. Felthousen, Wildlife Biologist

lèm 7/1/19

EFED/EEB

P. CWK 7.7-89

Norm Cook, Head Section 2

EFED/EEB

Jim Akerman, Chief

EFED/EEB